80.01

Establishment of a preclinical migraine model based on nitroglycerine-induced sensitization of spinal trigeminal parabrachial neurons in the anaesthetized rat J. Allard¹, O.Toury², A.A. Asuni³, F. Gastambide³, B. Buisson² and B.J. Hall³ ¹E-Phys, Clermont-Ferrand, France; ²Neuroservices-Alliance, Aix-en-Provence, France; ³Lundbeck A/S, Valby, Danemark Julien.allard@neuroservices-alliance.com

Aim

- To gain understanding on nitroglycerine (NTG)-mediated pain sensitization related to migraine, in addition to providing a potential platform for therapeutic screening.
- Strategy is based upon 1) electrophysiological measures of the activity of trigeminocervicoparabrachial (TPB)^{1,2} neurons innervating the periorbital region and 2) the ability of NTG³ to induce migraine-like symptoms in rodents.
- We hypothesize that spinoparabrachial neurons, which are thought to play an essential role in maladaptive pain, should play an essential role in the generation of pain-related migraine.

Set up and method



(electrolytic lesion).

- Extracellular recording of lamina I and III-V TPB neurons under isoflurane anaesthesia.
- Search of TPB neurons based on antidromic stimulations from the PB area and obtention of positive collision test.
- 5 i.p. injections of NTG 10 mg/kg or vehicle (VEH) every other day.
- Electrophysiological measures performed 24-28 h after last injection.

Identification of Iamina I and III-V TPB neurons Electrophysiology









Lamina I: 16 rats; 18 VEH and 12 NTG TPB neurons. Lamina III-V: 14 rats; 17 VEH and 14 NTG TPB neurons. Modality distribution and conduction velocities for lamina I and III-V TPB neurons (not shown) were similar to that observed in experiment #1. Electrical stimulations: 2 ms square wave pulses, 1-10 mA. Responses measured as mean number of C-fibre related action potentials (arrow on recordings). Statistical analysis using multiple Mann-Whitney tests and corresponding corrections did not evidence any discovery (GraphPad Prism 9.4.1).

2 Gauriau and Bernard, 2002, Exp Physiol, 87, 251–258. 3 Akerman et al., Brain, 2019,142, 103-119. 4 Zhang et al., Ann Neurol, 2013, 73, 741–750. 5 Tassorelli and Joseph, Brain Res, 1995, 682, 167-181. 6 Greco et al, J Headache Pain, 2018, 19, 51-59.